# Rec'd PCT/PTO 18 JAN 2005 TREATY

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

10/500145

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ranslation intern	PATENT COOPERAT	TION TREAT		18 JAN WWWWW 15001
rall intern	ATIONAL PRELIMINARY	'EXAMINAT	ر کے ION REPORT	, , , , , , , ,
	(PCT Article 36 and	d Rule 70)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000054233	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification	on of Transmitta mination Report (Fo	l of Internat
International application No. PCT/EP2003/000549	International filing date (day/r) 21 January 2003 (21.0	month/year) Pi	riority date (day/mon	nth/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC) C07F 9/22		71.2003)	24 January 2002	2 (24.01.200
Applicant	BASF AKTIENGESELI	LSCHAFT		
These annexes consist o	the basis for this report and/or sheets of the Administrative Instruction 607 of the Administrative Instruction for total ofsheets.	cuons under the P	·C1).	
<ol> <li>This report contains indications</li> <li>I Basis of the report</li> </ol>				
II Priority				
III Non-establishm	nent of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step a	nd industrial applical	bility
IV Lack of unity o				
V Reasoned states citations and ex	ment under Article 35(2) with regard planations supporting such statemen	to novelty, invent	ive step or industrial	applicability;
VI Certain docume				
VII Certain defects	in the international application		•	
VIII Certain observa	tions on the international application			
Date of the last o				
Date of submission of the demand	j	ompletion of this r	report	
02 May 2003 (02.05	(.2003)	11 Decemb	er 2003 (11.12.2	003)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EF	Authorize	d officer		
Facsimile No.	Telephone	e No.		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 1994)

International application No. INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP2003/000549

I. Basis	of t	he report			
1. This under	repo r Artic	rt has been drawn cle 14 are referred	on the basis of to in this report	f (Replacement she as "originally filed	ets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation " and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):
	$\boxtimes$			s originally filed.	
	$\boxtimes$	the description	, pages	1-97	, as originally filed,
					, filed with the demand,
			pages		, filed with the letter of
					, filed with the letter of
i	$\boxtimes$	the claims,			_ , as originally filed,
, L	لاجيكا				_ , as amended under Article 19,
					_ , filed with the demand,
					_ , filed with the letter of,
			Nos.		, filed with the letter of
г	_	the drawings,			
L		die drawings,			_ , as originally filed,
,					_ , filed with the demand,
			sheets/fig		, filed with the letter of,
) TVI.			silects/fig	· — —	, filed with the letter of
. ine am Γ		nents have resulte			
L	亅	the description,	pages		
L	ᆜ	the claims,	Nos		
L		the drawings,	sheets/fig		
<u> </u>					
· L to	his r go l	eport has been es beyond the disclo	tablished as if sure as filed, a	(some of) the ame	endments had not been made, since they have been considered Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
			•	u.o	Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)),
. Additior	nal o	bservations, if ne	cessary:		
	•				
		•			
			,		

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/000549

The qu ndustr	estions whether the rially applicable hav	e claimed invention ap ve not been examined	opears to be novel, to in respect of:	o involve an inventive	e step (to be non obvious), or to	be
	7	tional application.				
	claims Nos			<u>-</u>		
caus	se:					
	the said internation relate to the follow	nal application, or the wing subject matter w	e said claims Nos. hich does not requii	re an international pre	eliminary examination (specify):	
				•		
	he description, clair are so unclear that n	ms or drawings (indic 10 meaningful opinior	cate particular elements	ents below) or said cla	aims Nos.	
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
			•			
						}
		ims Nos. t no meaningful opinio		ms Nos	are so inadequately su	pported

International application No. PCT/EP 03/00549

Su	nnl	em	antal	Box
vи	יץץ	CILL	cutai	DOX

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The applicant is invited to restrict the application to claims 1 to 10 and 14 to 16 and to adapt the description accordingly.

International application No. PCT/EP 03/00549

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10, 14-16	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-10, 14-16	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10, 14-16	YES
	Claims		NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations

#### 1. Citations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE 197 24 884 A (BASF AG) 17 December 1998 (1998-12-17), cited in the application

D2: DE 198 26 936 A (BASF AG) 23 December 1999 (1999-12-23), cited in the application

D3: EP-A-1 142 898 (YOSHITOMI FINE CHEMICALS LTD)
10 October 2001 (2001-10-10), cited in the application

#### 2. Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))

The present application concerns a method of producing aminophosphorus compounds and a method of separating acids or for stopping acid-catalysed reactions.

D1 and D2 concern a method of producing carbonyldiimidazoles.

D3 concerns a method of producing phosphonites.

None of the citations describes the production of aminophosphorus compounds or a method in which the

product and base are distilled directly off the reaction mixture, or a method of stopping acid-catalysed reactions.

The present application meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) since the subject matter of claims 1 to 10 and 14 to 16 is novel.

#### Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

D3 is considered the closest prior art.

The problem addressed by the present invention can thus be considered that of devising an alternative method for the simplified separation of acids from reaction mixtures.

The technical problem is solved by the use of a base that forms a liquid salt with the acid.

None of the citations, either alone or in combination suggests:

producing aminophosphorus compounds as per claim 1; distilling the product and base off the reaction mixture (claims 14 and 15); or separating a catalyst from the reaction mixture (claim 16).

The present application meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) since the subject matter of claims 1 to 10 and 14 to 16 involves an inventive step.

### 4. Industrial applicability (PCT Article 33(4))

The present application meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(4) since the subject matter of claims 1 to 10 and 14 to 16 can be considered industrially

PCT/EP 03/00549

applicable.

#### 5. Clarity (PCT Article 6)

The third paragraph (page 25, lines 14 to 17) is not covered by the current claims. This inconsistency between the claims and the description gives rise to uncertainty as to the subject matter for which protection is sought; the claims are hence unclear (PCT Article 6).

Embodiments 1 to 9 and 11 to 43 are not covered by the current claims. This inconsistency between the claims and the description gives rise to uncertainty as to the subject matter for which protection is sought; the claims are hence unclear (PCT Article 6). The applicant should note that documents D1 to D3 may be prejudicial to novelty if the claims are broadened.

#### 6. Other defects in the application

The applicant is requested to replace the references to patent applications by references to published documents (page 42, lines 10, 12, 14, 19, 23, 27; and page 43, line 2) and to correct the errors on page 44, lines 8 and 27.